

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 09/866,926 | 05/30/2001 | Volker Hilarius | MERCK-2264 | 5145 |
| | 90 06/25/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. | | | ANDERSON, REBECCA L | |
| SUITE 1400 | DON BLVD. | • | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | ARLINGTON, VA 22201 | | 1626 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 4.00 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/866,926 | HILARIUS ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Action | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Rebecca L Anderson | 1626 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 and 14 June 2004 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim | ication. A proper repictor | oly to a cation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR F | REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SIX MONTHS THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE THEORY OF THE PROPERTY OF | of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Solution. 136(a) and the appropriate extention in the final Office action; or | e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C | | | | | | |
| 2. \boxtimes The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | because: | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | n in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | |
| (d) 🗵 they present additional claims without cand | eling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clair | ns. | | | |
| NOTE: | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | ld be allowable if submitted in a | separate, timely filed | d amendment | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NC | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SOLELY | Y to issues which we | re newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow | s: | | F | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approximately approximatel | oproved or b) disapproved by | the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem | | | | | | |

CEILA CHANG PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200/63

10. Other: ____

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/866,926

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 11-14 are stil considered non-elected claims. Claim 11 is an independent and distinc product, specifically an electrochemical cell comprising a cathode, an anode, a separator and the product of claim 1. Claim 12 is an independent and distinc product, specifically a supercapacitor which comprises at least a pair of electrodes, a separator and the product of claim 1. Claim 13 is an independent and distinct product, specifically an electrolyte composition with at least 2 components of which only 1 is the product of claim 1. Claim 14 is an independent and distinct product, specifically an electrolyte composition comprising the product of claim 1 and a conductive salt. Accordingly, under In re Ochiai these claims are not rejoinable subject matter since they are not directed to processes of using or processes of making exclusively to the allowable product.